

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/089,505 08/27/2002			Jean Rousseau	REGMI-013	9497		
530	7590	10/08/2003		EXAMINER			
LERNER,	DAVID, I	LITTENBERG,	BEISNER, WILLIAM H				
KRUMHOI	LZ & MEN	TLIK					
600 SOUTI	I AVENUE	WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WESTFIEL	WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			1744			

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٦		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application N	o. •	Applicant(s)				
	1	·	10/089,505		ROUSSEAU ET AL.				
		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		•	William H. Beis	sner	1744				
F	eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appr r Reply			1 11 11				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
	2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-	-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application							
!	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are objected to.								
_	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
^	Application Papers								
	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	. • / 2	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•					
	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
P	riority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
At	tachmen								
2)	☐ Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summar Notice of Informal Other:	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/089,505

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 27 Aug. 2002 has been considered and made of record.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 5-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-16 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly of
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,505 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 3 is indefinite because it cannot be clearly determined how the further limitation recited in claim 3 differs from the "means for injecting a pressurized gas into said inner compartment" recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitney (GB 2 202 549).

The reference of Whitney discloses a disposable reactor that includes an outer envelope (flexible outer membrane) and at least one inner envelope (flexible draught tube). The envelopes are sealed with respect to the external environment and the device includes a gas injection means (sparger) for the inner compartment. The envelopes communicate with one another such that liquid medium flows between the compartments (See Figure 2). The exhaust (See figure 2) provides means for removing gas from the outer compartment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1744

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitney (GB 2 202 549) in view of Mulder et al.(EP 0 325 337).

The reference of Whitney has been discussed above.

The above claims differ by reciting that the inner envelope includes lateral opening and that the bottom opening is greater than the lateral opening.

Art Unit: 1744

The reference of Mulder et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide draft tubes within a reactor device with lateral openings that are less than the area of the bottom openings (See page 3, lines 18-24).

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the inner envelope of the primary reference with the lateral opening disclosed by the reference of Mulder et al. for the known and expected result of reducing the hysteresis effects in a gas lift reactor.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references of Gaugler et al. (US 6,432,698) and Hiromitsu et al. (JP 02-042971) are cited as prior art that pertains to airlift bioreactors.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Beisner whose telephone number is 703-308-4006. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues, to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:40am to 4:10pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

> William H. Beisner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744